

A Note on a Problem of Rivlin

M. R. SUBRAHMANYA

Matscience, The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Madras-20, India

Communicated by T. J. Rivlin

Received April 22, 1970

1. INTRODUCTION

The following problem was posed by Rivlin [1]. Characterize those n -tuples of algebraic polynomials $\{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}\}$ such that the degree of p_j is j ($j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$) for which there exists an $x \in C([0, 1])$ (the space of continuous real-valued functions on $[0, 1]$) so that the polynomial of best approximation of degree j to x in the sense of Chebyshev is p_j . The purpose of this note is to prove the following theorem:

THEOREM. *Let $E = C([0, 1])$ and let $\{f_1, f_2\}$ be any Chebyshev system. Let $g_1 \in G_1$, the span of $\{f_1\}$, and $g_2 \in G_2$, the span of $\{f_1, f_2\}$. In order that there exists an $x \in C([0, 1])$ such that*

$$\pi_{G_k}(x) = g_k \quad (k = 1, 2),$$

it is necessary and sufficient that either $g = g_2 - g_1$ is identically equal to zero or that g changes sign once in $[0, 1]$, where π_{G_k} is the metric projection on to the subspace G_k .

Remark. This theorem generalizes theorem 7 of [2] where the result was proved with $G_1 = \text{span}\{1\}$ and $G_2 = \text{span}\{1, t\}$.

The author wishes to acknowledge his indebtedness to Professor Singer for showing him the manuscript of the paper [2]. He is thankful to Dr. A. L. Brown and the referee for their valuable suggestions, to Professor K. R. Unni for his excellent guidance, and to Professor Alladi Ramakrishnan, Director of the Institute, for providing excellent facilities for research.

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

The proof of the necessity of the condition proceeds as in [2]. We shall prove only the sufficiency part. Suppose g changes sign once in $[0, 1]$. Choose

$\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that $g(\alpha) = 0$. We can choose, then, two points θ_1 and θ_2 , $0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < 1$, such that $g(\theta_1) = -g(\theta_2)$ and such that $g(t)$ lies between $g(\theta_1)$ and $g(\theta_2)$ when $t \in [\theta_1, \theta_2]$, i.e., either $g(\theta_1) \leq g(t) \leq g(\theta_2)$ or

$$g(\theta_1) \geq g(t) \geq g(\theta_2).$$

We assume for the moment the first possibility. Then $g(\theta_1) < 0$. Let M be a real number $\geq 2 \|g\|$. We define a continuous function y_2 on $[0, 1]$ as follows. Let $\theta_2 < t_0 < 1$. Set

$$y_2(t) = \begin{cases} (M - 2 |g(t) - g(\theta_1)|)(t/\theta_1), & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \theta_1; \\ [M/(\theta_2 - \theta_1)](\theta_1 + \theta_2 - 2t), & \text{for } \theta_1 \leq t \leq \theta_2; \\ (-M + 2 |g(t) - g(\theta_2)|)[(t_0 - t)/(t_0 - \theta_2)], & \text{for } \theta_2 \leq t \leq t_0; \\ [M/(1 - t_0)](t - t_0), & \text{for } t_0 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then $y_2(0) = 0 = y_2(t_0)$, $y_2(\theta_1) = M = -y_2(\theta_2) = y_2(1)$ and y_2 is continuous on $[0, 1]$. Put $y_1(t) = y_2(t) - g(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

We claim that y_1 and y_2 , so constructed, satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1 of [2] and then the proof follows by an application of that theorem. It is easy to verify that $|y_2(t)| \leq M$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. As to y_1 , we have the following:

(i) Let $0 \leq t \leq \theta_1$; since g is negative,

$$\begin{aligned} |y_1(t)| &= y_1(t) = (M - 2 |g(\theta_1) - g(t)|)(t/\theta_1) - g(t) \\ &\leq M - 2 |g(\theta_1) - g(t)| - g(t) \\ &= M - g(\theta_1) - 2 |g(\theta_1) - g(t)| + g(\theta_1) - g(t) \\ &\leq M - g(\theta_1) = y_1(\theta_1). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $\theta_1 \leq t \leq \theta_2$; then $g(\theta_1) \leq g(t) \leq g(\theta_2)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} |y_1(t)| &\leq [M/(\theta_2 - \theta_1)](\theta_1 + \theta_2 - 2t) + |g(t)| \\ &\leq M + |g(t)| \leq M + g(\theta_2) = M - g(\theta_1) = y_1(\theta_1). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Let $\theta_2 \leq t \leq t_0$; then $g(t) \geq 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} |y_1(t)| &\leq M - 2 |g(\theta_2) - g(t)| + g(t) \\ &= M + g(\theta_2) - 2 |g(\theta_2) - g(t)| + g(t) - g(\theta_2) \\ &\leq M + g(\theta_2) = M - g(\theta_1) = y_1(\theta_1). \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Let $t_0 \leq t \leq 1$; then

$$\begin{aligned} |y_1(t)| &= |[M/(1 - t_0)](t - t_0) - g(t)| \\ &\leq M < y_1(\theta_1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $y_1(\theta_1) = -y_1(\theta_2) = \|y_1\|$. We have already noticed that $y_2(\theta_1) = M = -y_2(\theta_2) = y_2(1) = \|y_2\|$. From a well-known theorem of Chebyshev it then follows that $y_1 \in \pi_{G_1}^{-1}(0)$ and $y_2 \in \pi_{G_2}^{-1}(0)$, where

$$\pi_G^{-1}(0) = \{y \in C([0, 1]) : 0 \in \pi_G(y)\};$$

that is, y_1 and y_2 satisfy the condition of Theorem 1 of [2]. A slight modification of the above construction gives the proof for the case $g(\theta_1) \geq g(t) \geq g(\theta_2)$. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. T. J. RIVLIN, A duality theorem and upper bounds for approximation, in "Abstract Spaces and Approximation" (P. L. Butzer and B. Sz.-Nagy, Eds.), pp. 274-280, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1969.
2. FRANK DEUTSCH, PETER D. MORRIS, AND IVAN SINGER, On a problem of T. J. Rivlin in approximation theory, *J. Approximation Theory* 2 (1969), 342-354.